

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/472,988	1	2/28/1999	TERUAKI OKUDA	35.G2524	9448
5514	7590	08/27/2002			
		ELLA HARPER & SCINTO EXAMINER			
30 ROCKE NEW YOR				SCHWARTZ,	PAMELA R
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1774	10
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	/ -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A:
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/472,988	OKUDA, TERUAKI
a.r.o.y rionon	Examin r	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendment repeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH.  The date on which the petition underiod of extension and the correspond to of the shortened statutory period to Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection.  IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>The amendment to claim 1 would be</u> B. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	entered if submitted separately jection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) the application in condition for allowand 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ce because: See Continuation	Sheet.
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendn explanation of how the new or amended claim</li> </ol>	nent(s) a) will not be entere s would be rejected is provide	ed or b)⊡ will be entered and an ed below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
0. ☐ Other:		HAMELA R. SCHWART, PRIMARY EXALINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Of reasons of record. It is further noted that Hirose et al. disclose the lower ink receiving layer functioning to absorb dye that is not absorbed by the surface layer, thereby increasing the optical density of the image. (see col.6, lines 16-32 and col. 7, lines16-36). This disclosure is believed to support that the surface layer is inherently transparent. If it were not, dye absorbed in the ink-receiving layer would not increase optical density .